Official List of Federal, Statewide, and Legislative Offices and Candidates

SECTION ONE
PARTISAN BALLOT

I, Katie Hobbs, Secretary of State, hereby certify that the following persons are the nominees of their respective parties. They have complied with the constitutional and statutory requirements for ballot access, and therefore, are entitled to have their names printed on the Official Ballot for the General Election to be held on November 8, 2022.

U.S. Senator (Vote for not more than 1)
  Kelly, Mark (DEM)
  Masters, Blake (REP)
  Victor, Marc J. (LBT)

U.S. Representative in Congress – District 2 (Vote for not more than 1)
  O’Halleran, Tom (DEM)
  Crane, Eli (REP)

Governor (Vote for not more than 1)
  Hobbs, Katie (DEM)
  Lake, Kari (REP)

State Senator – District No. 1 (Vote for not more than 1)
  Fogel, Mike (DEM)
  Bennett, Ken (REP)

State Senator – District No. 6 (Vote for not more than 1)
  Hatathlie, Theresa (DEM)

State Senator – District No. 7 (Vote for not more than 1)
  Nitschke, Kyle (DEM)
  Rogers, Wendy (REP)

State Representative – District No. 1 (Vote for not more than 2)
  Ransom, Cathy (DEM)
  Sinclair, Neil (DEM)
  Bliss, Selina (REP)
  Nguyen, Quang (REP)

State Representative – District No. 6 (Vote for not more than 2)
  Peshlakai, Mae (DEM)
  Tsosie, Myron (DEM)

State Representative – District No. 7 (Vote for not more than 2)
  Cook, David (REP)
  Marshall Sr., David (REP)
Secretary of State
   Fontes, Adrian (DEM)
   Finchem, Mark (REP)

Attorney General
   Mayes, Kris (DEM)
   Hamadeh, Abraham “Abe” (REP)

State Treasurer
   Quezada, Martín (DEM)
   Yee, Kimberly (REP)

Superintendent of Public Instruction
   Hoffman, Kathy (DEM)
   Horne, Tom (REP)

State Mine Inspector
   Marsh, Paul (REP)

Corporation Commissioner
   Kennedy, Sandra (DEM)
   Kuby, Lauren (DEM)
   Myers, Nicholas “Nick” (REP)
   Thompson, Kevin (REP)
SECTION TWO
NON-PARTISAN BALLOT

I further certify that the following judicial officers, having complied with Article VI Section 38 of the Arizona Constitution by filing a declaration of their desire to be retained in office, are entitled to have their names printed on the Official Ballot for the General Election to be held on November 8, 2022 for the voters’ answer to the question of retention.

Justice of the Arizona Supreme Court

Shall BEENE, JAMES, Justice of the Arizona Supreme Court be retained in office? YES NO

Shall MONTGOMERY, WILLIAM, Justice of the Arizona Supreme Court be retained in office? YES NO

Shall TIMMER, ANN, Justice of the Arizona Supreme Court be retained in office? YES NO

Judge of the Court of Appeals Division I

Shall BROWN, MICHAEL, Judge of the Court of Appeals Division I be retained in office? YES NO

Shall WILLIAMS, STEVEN, Judge of the Court of Appeals Division I be retained in office? YES NO
I further certify that all requirements of the constitution and laws of Arizona have been complied with and therefore, the following Propositions are entitled to appear on the Official Ballot for the General Election to be held on November 8, 2022, in the format set forth on the following pages.

Proposition 128
Proposition 129
Proposition 130
Proposition 131
Proposition 132
Proposition 209
Proposition 211
Proposition 308
Proposition 309
Proposition 310
**OFFICIAL TITLE**
PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; AMENDING ARTICLE IV, PART 1, SECTION 1, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; RELATING TO INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM.

**DESCRIPTIVE TITLE**
THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT WOULD ALLOW THE LEGISLATURE TO AMEND, DIVERT FUNDS FROM, OR SUPERSEDE AN INITIATIVE OR REFERENDUM MEASURE ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF ARIZONA IF THE MEASURE IS FOUND TO CONTAIN ILLEGAL OR UNCONSTITUTIONAL LANGUAGE BY THE ARIZONA OR UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.

| A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the constitution to allow the state legislature to amend, divert funds from, or supersede an initiative or referendum measure enacted by the people of Arizona if the measure is found to contain illegal or unconstitutional language by the Arizona or United States Supreme Court. | YES  
| A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on the state legislature’s ability to amend, divert funds from, or supersede an initiative or referendum measure. | NO |
PROPOSITION 129 - SAMPLE BALLOT/BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSITION 129
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE
RELATING TO INITIATIVE MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICIAL TITLE</th>
<th>PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; AMENDING ARTICLE IV, PART 1, SECTION 1, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; RELATING TO INITIATIVE MEASURES.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTIVE TITLE</td>
<td>THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT WOULD LIMIT AN INITIATIVE MEASURE TO A SINGLE SUBJECT AND REQUIRE THAT SUBJECT TO BE EXPRESSED IN THE TITLE OF THE INITIATIVE MEASURE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the constitution to limit each initiative measure to a single subject and require that subject to be expressed in the title of the initiative measure.  YES

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on initiative measures.  NO
### OFFICIAL TITLE
PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; REPEALING ARTICLE IX, SECTIONS 2, 2.1, 2.2 AND 2.3, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; AMENDING ARTICLE IX, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA, BY ADDING A NEW SECTION 2; RELATING TO PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTIONS.

### DESCRIPTIVE TITLE
THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT WOULD CONSOLIDATE FOUR SECTIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION REGARDING PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTIONS INTO A SINGLE SECTION; REMOVE THE CONSTITUTIONAL DETERMINATIONS OF THE AMOUNTS OF CERTAIN PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTIONS; AND ALLOW PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTIONS FOR RESIDENT VETERANS WITH DISABILITIES, WIDOWS, AND WIDowers REGARDLESS OF WHEN THEY BECAME ARIZONA RESIDENTS.

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the constitution to consolidate property tax exemptions into a single section; removing the constitutional determinations as to the amounts of certain property tax exemptions, leaving the legislature to prescribe by law the qualifications for and amounts of property tax exemptions it creates; allowing property tax exemptions for resident veterans with disabilities, widows, and widowers regardless of when they became Arizona residents; and establishing that a person is not eligible for property tax exemption under more than one category as a widow, widower, person with a disability, or veteran with a disability.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on property tax exemptions.
OFFICIAL TITLE
PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; AMENDING ARTICLE V, SECTION 1, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA, AS AMENDED BY PROPOSITION 100, ELECTION OF NOVEMBER 3, 1992; AMENDING ARTICLE V, SECTIONS 6 AND 9, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; RELATING TO THE EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE
THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT WOULD CREATE A NEW EXECUTIVE OFFICER WHO WOULD BE ELECTED ON A JOINT TICKET WITH THE GOVERNOR AND SUCCEED TO THE OFFICE OF GOVERNOR IN THE EVENT OF THE GOVERNOR’S DEATH, REMOVAL FROM OFFICE, OR DISABILITY TO DISCHARGE THE DUTIES OF THE OFFICE.

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the constitution to create the office of Lieutenant Governor beginning with the 2026 election; requiring that a nominee for Governor name a nominee for Lieutenant Governor to be jointly elected; replacing the Secretary of State with the Lieutenant Governor as first in the line of succession to the office of Governor; and provide that the Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, and Superintendent of Public Instruction may succeed to the office of Governor regardless of whether they were elected.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining the current executive branch and existing law on executive succession.
PROPOSITION 132 - SAMPLE BALLOT/BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSITION 132

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE
RELATING TO INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM MEASURES

**OFFICIAL TITLE**
PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; AMENDING ARTICLE IV, PART 1, SECTION 1, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; AMENDING ARTICLE XXI, SECTION 1, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA; RELATING TO INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM MEASURES.

**DESCRIPTIVE TITLE**
THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT WOULD REQUIRE THAT AN INITIATIVE OR REFERENDUM TO APPROVE A TAX RECEIVES SIXTY PERCENT OF THE VOTES CAST TO BECOME LAW.

| A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the constitution to require at least sixty percent of votes cast to approve an initiative or referendum that enacts a tax. | YES □ |
| A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on initiative and referendum measures. | NO □ |
Notice: Pursuant to Proposition 105 (1998), these measures cannot be changed in the future if approved on the ballot except by a three-fourths vote of the members of each house of the Legislature and if the change furthers the purpose of the original ballot measure, by an initiative petition or by referring the change to the ballot.

**PROPOSITION 209 - SAMPLE BALLOT/BALLOT FORMAT**

**PROPOSITION 209**

PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO PREDATORY DEBT COLLECTION PROTECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICIAL TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMENDING SECTIONS 12-1598.10, 33-1101, 33-1123, 33-1125, 33-1126, 33-1131, AND 44-1201, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; RELATING TO PREDATORY DEBT COLLECTION PROTECTION.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTIVE TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THE LAW WOULD REDUCE MAXIMUM INTEREST RATES ON MEDICAL DEBT FROM 10% TO 3% ANNUALLY; INCREASE THE AMOUNT OF CERTAIN ASSETS EXEMPT FROM DEBT COLLECTION; ANNUALLY ADJUST EXEMPTIONS FOR INFLATION BEGINNING 2024; AND ALLOW COURTS TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF DISPOSABLE EARNINGS GARNISHED IN CASES OF EXTREME ECONOMIC HARDSHIP.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of reducing maximum interest rates on medical debt from ten percent to no more than three percent per year; increasing exemptions from all debt collection for certain personal assets, including a debtor’s home, household items, motor vehicle, and bank account from debt collection; adjusting exemptions from all debt collection for inflation beginning in 2024; decreasing the amount of disposable earnings subject to garnishment to no more than ten percent of disposable earnings but allowing a court to decrease the disposable earnings subject to garnishment to five percent based on extreme economic hardship.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing laws related to debt collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


### PROPOSITION 211 - SAMPLE BALLOT/BALLOT FORMAT

**PROPOSITION 211**

PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO THE DISCLOSURE OF THE ORIGINAL SOURCE OF MONIES USED FOR CAMPAIGN MEDIA SPENDING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICIAL TITLE</th>
<th>AMENDING TITLE 16, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES BY ADDING CHAPTER 6.1; RELATING TO THE DISCLOSURE OF THE ORIGINAL SOURCE OF MONIES USED FOR CAMPAIGN MEDIA SPENDING.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTIVE TITLE</td>
<td>THE LAW WOULD REQUIRE ENTITIES AND PERSONS SPENDING OVER $50,000 ON STATEWIDE CAMPAIGNS OR $25,000 ON OTHER CAMPAIGNS, NOT INCLUDING PERSONAL MONIES AND BUSINESS INCOME, TO DISCLOSE THE ORIGINAL DONOR OF CONTRIBUTIONS OVER $5,000; AND CREATE ADDITIONAL REPORTING AND ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of requiring additional disclosures and reporting by entities and persons whose campaign media spending and/or in-kind contributions for campaign media spending exceeds $50,000 in statewide campaigns or $25,000 in other campaigns, including identifying original donors of contributions of more than $5,000 in aggregate; creating penalties for violations of the law; and allowing the Citizens Clean Elections Commission to adopt rules and enforce the provisions of the law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on campaign finance reporting requirements.
Notice: Pursuant to Proposition 105 (1998), these measures cannot be changed in the future if approved on the ballot except by a three-fourths vote of the members of each house of the Legislature and if the change furthers the purpose of the original ballot measure, by an initiative petition or by referring the change to the ballot.

PROPOSITION 308 - SAMPLE BALLOT/BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSITION 308
REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO THE CLASSIFICATION OF STUDENTS FOR TUITION PURPOSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICIAL TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMENDING SECTIONS 1-502 AND 15-1803, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; REPEALING SECTION 15-1825, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; RELATING TO THE CLASSIFICATION OF STUDENTS FOR TUITION PURPOSES.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTIVE TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THE LAW WOULD ALLOW ARIZONA STUDENTS, REGARDLESS OF IMMIGRATION STATUS, TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR FINANCIAL AID AT STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COMMUNITY COLLEGES AND IN-STATE TUITION IF THEY GRADUATED FROM AND ATTENDED A PUBLIC OR PRIVATE HIGH SCHOOL, OR HOME SCHOOL EQUIVALENT, FOR TWO YEARS IN ARIZONA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of allowing any Arizona student, regardless of immigration status, to be eligible for in-state tuition at state universities and community colleges if they graduated from, and spent at least two years attending, an Arizona public or private high school, or homeschool equivalent; allowing any Arizona student, regardless of immigration status, to be eligible for state financial aid at state universities and community colleges.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining the current law on university and community college tuition.
PROPOSITION 309 - SAMPLE BALLOT/BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSITION 309
REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO VOTER IDENTIFICATION

OFFICIAL TITLE
AMENDING TITLE 16, CHAPTER 4, ARTICLE 8, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTIONS 16-541.01 AND 16-541.02; AMENDING SECTIONS 16-547, 16-548, 16-550 AND 16-579, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; AMENDING TITLE 28, CHAPTER 8, ARTICLE 4, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 28-3165.01; RELATING TO VOTER IDENTIFICATION.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE
THE LAW WOULD REQUIRE VOTERS TO WRITE THEIR BIRTHDATE, GOVERNMENT-ISSUED IDENTIFICATION NUMBER, AND SIGNATURE ON A CONCEALED EARLY BALLOT AFFIDAVIT; REQUIRE PHOTO IDENTIFICATION TO VOTE IN-PERSON; AND REQUIRE THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE WITHOUT CHARGE A NONOPERATING IDENTIFICATION LICENSE TO INDIVIDUALS WHO REQUEST ONE FOR VOTING PURPOSES.

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of requiring the affidavit accompanying an early ballot and return envelope to be capable of being concealed when returned; requiring a voter to write their birth date, a state-issued identification number or the last four digits of the voter’s social security number, and signature on an early ballot affidavit; requiring certain photo identification issued by the State of Arizona, or a tribal government or the United States government, to receive a ballot at an in-person voting location; removing the ability to receive a ballot at an in-person voting location without photo identification when showing two other identifying documents; and requiring the Arizona Department of Transportation to provide, without charge, a nonoperating identification license to individuals who request one for the purpose of voting.

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on early ballot affidavits and voter identification.

YES ☐
NO ☐
PROPOSITION 310 - SAMPLE BALLOT/BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSITION 310
REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO TAXATION BENEFITTING FIRE DISTRICTS

OFFICIAL TITLE
AMENDING TITLE 42, CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 42-5010.02; AMENDING SECTION 42-5155, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; AMENDING TITLE 48, CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 48-825; RELATING TO TAXATION BENEFITTING FIRE DISTRICTS.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE
THE LAW WOULD ESTABLISH A FIRE DISTRICT SAFETY FUND TO BE FUNDED VIA AN INCREASE OF ONE-TENTH OF ONE PERCENT TO THE STATE’S TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE (SALES) AND USE TAX FROM JANUARY 1, 2023 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2042.

A “YES” vote shall have the effect of establishing a Fire District Safety Fund; increasing the Transaction Privilege (Sales) and Use Tax by one-tenth of one percent from January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2042 to pay for the Fund; and distributing monies from the Fund to fire districts on a monthly basis. YES

A “NO” vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on tax rates and funding for fire districts. NO