



2015 Comprehensive Plan Comment Integration Table

The Coconino County Community Development staff received invaluable input from many members of the public, technical experts, federal and state agencies, County Departments, advisory groups, and municipalities. Most of the input received early in the process (before January 2015) was incorporated into the Public Draft of the Plan released in May, 2015. Some of these early questions and comments are displayed in the first table below for reference. However this table primarily addresses how comments from the public release draft were handled after May 2015. Input came from a broad range of commenters including public open houses, advisory committees, Board of Supervisors, and others. The Community Development staff wishes to thank all who provided their expertise and advisement.

Abbreviations: CPC = Comprehensive Plan Committee (assigned by County Supervisors)
 SAG = Science Advisory Committee
 IAG = Inter-agency Advisory Group

Pre-Public Draft Questions and Comments (prior to May, 2015)

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
1	Geoff Barnard, CPC 1/2014	Does the plan require public vote?	No, just adoption by the Board of Supervisors.
2	Sat Best, CPC 1/2014	Do you have a media plan? I would encourage you to go to the media as public is often uninformed.	Yes, we are implementing a public outreach and participation plan through internet, email, media, and County open houses.
3	David Wilcox, SAG 1/2014	Does the county have a historic preservation officer? County should have a Historic Preservation Officer (possibilities include an individual already working for the county or local expert).	No, the County does not have a SHPO office/officer. Discussions on this in Community Character Chapter.
4	Leo Gishie, CPC 1/2014	Are expenses for working with Comprehensive Plan reimbursed?	Yes, the plan process included reimbursement for those CPC members who live 100 or more miles from the meetings. The County will only cover mileage not lodging or meals.

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
5	Mary West, CPC 1/2014	What about work groups, open to public?	Yes, they are open to the public and held under open meeting law.
6	Don Walters, CPC 1/2014	Is there limitation about CPC members meeting separately, email communication?	Yes, the CPC is a body that must comply with Open Meeting Law provisions.
7	Geoff Bernard, CPC 1/2014	We need to collect a lot of data relating to demographics before working on the economic development discussions because much of that discussion will be about demographics.	The process will include updates on demographics.
8	Anonymous 1/2014	As part of the update process, County should ascertain the present percentage of privately owned lands given the recent acquisitions of land by tribal governments.	Yes, the updated demographics will do this.
9	Bill Cordasco, CPC 1/2014	County staff should remind the public and those participating in the plan update that the County's Comp Plan pertains to those lands under the County's jurisdiction; private lands outside of incorporated areas.	Yes, we do that throughout the text.
10	SAG Meeting, group agreement 5/28/14	Focus on revisions to maps from the 2003 Plan	Yes, the 2015 Plan will include updated & new maps.
11	Bruce Higgins, SAG 5/28/14	Parks and Recreation Map: Update wilderness layer with Grand Canyon National Park change. Also, Kaibab has 4 proposed areas, which would be another category.	All current wilderness layers were included. Proposed wilderness was not as these areas have not been designated yet.
12	Jessica Gist, SAG 5/28/14	Land Ownership Map should display state agency properties differently than State Trust Land, e.g. Lamar Haines Wildlife Area, owned and managed by AZ Game and Fish Dept., and NAU.	Staff worked with GIS and did this.
13	Norm Lowe, SAG 5/28/14	Basemap: 1. How about including a watershed boundary map? For land conservation we need to plan first on the headlands of watersheds to benefit lower watersheds. Land Protection: 1. Given the huge size of the county, the small amount of land the County actually regulates, it may well be the County is the best organization to play a primary role in facilitating and convening others (fed & state agencies, large ranches, tribes) in addressing large-scale issues, such as watershed protection, climate change mitigation, water	Basemap: 1. Staff created this map- found it not very informative, even when overlain with vegetation. However, the plan promotes sound watershed management throughout. Land Protection: 1. Agree with County's role. Staff addressed this in the Land Use and Natural Environment Chapters

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		management (along with SRP) and others. Communities Map: 1. Map needs to be updated: Tusayan is incorporated	Communities Map: 1. Tusayan has been correctly labelled on the updated maps.
14	Bruce Higgins, SAG 5/28/14	Circulation Chapter: Update Forest Service layer with the Travel Management Rule layer. Many FS roads have been closed or decommissioned.	The TMR layer is too detailed to display at the County-scale so did not add this layer to the map. However, the TMR for Coconino & Kaibab Forests are discussed in the Parks, OS, Trails and Recreation Chapter.
15	Judy Prosser CPC Meeting 2/15	1. Incorporate “diversity” into the definition of Sustainability. “Bio”-diversity provides strength and resilience to an ecosystem or a population; so should apply to a population of people. There is a wide variety of people, both cultural and economic, living in Coconino County and the rural communities are quite diverse in their own right & we cannot and should not try to make them all the same. 2. These communities should have input. These things in the comp plan need to be broad-based and not very specific.	1. Staff developed an introduction to the Sustainability Chapter that encapsulates these ideas and concepts. 2. New policy and implementation item has been added to improve communication with citizens moving forward.
16	Risha VanderWey 10/24/2014	Community Services Chapter: In reading the education section under guidelines A, B, C, the county does not have control over if a school opens or closes. That is up to each individual school’s governing board; not the county superintendent’s office.	Changed accordingly

Post-Public Draft Questions and Comments (after May, 2015)

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
17	Gordon Taylor 5/8/15	<p>Land Use Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Az State Land Department uses a 5-year disposition Plan 2. ASLD is different from other public land management agencies 3. Clarification on permission from ASLD before creating Rural Planning Area <p>Growth Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. State Trust lands beneficiary clarifications & parcel size clarifications all within the paragraph on State Trust lands. <p>Parks, OS, Trails & Recreation Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarification that ASLD is not a land management agency 	<p>Land Use Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Added info into Land Use “Checkerboard Issue” subsection 2. Amended new Policy #20 to reflect this 3. Changed accordingly <p>Growth Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Changed accordingly <p>Parks, OS, Trails & Recreation Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amended Policy #10 to reflect this.
18	Fredonia Meeting 5/14/15	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are a lot folks in the older generation that need services & both state and county are closing services 2. Fredonia was much bigger than Kanab. Kanab hired tourism director and has taken off. Everything in Fredonia is closing, nothing is opening. Need growth. Need a hotel, there is no place for tourist to stay. 3. Need development for a bigger tax base. 4. Insurance rates are high due to a low ISO rating. 5. No one in White Sage wants to develop. 6. Capitalize on historic small, town and open up appropriate scale businesses. Capitalize on tourism 7. Clean up so it looks nice. 8. County supports things without asking us, if the National Monument comes to be, we will be a ghost town. We need mining. Historically economy was industry and mining. 9. County needs to use technology to reach out to us. Can’t come down 2x per month. Need telecommunications. 	<p>County’s jurisdiction within Fredonia is limited, however 1, 8, & 9. New section on informed public and new policy #11 added to Sustainability and Resiliency Chapter</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Trish Lees (Health Services) responded with a comprehensive and extensive list of county health services available in Fredonia. <p>#2, #3, #6. Fredonia is an incorporated Town. The County has limited jurisdiction here.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Supported by discussion in growth about locating near infrastructure, and growth boundaries. 7. Issue addressed in community character 8. The economic development chapter addresses the importance of economic diversification throughout the county. <p>A new section in Social Pillar in the sustainability and resiliency chapter.</p>
19	Mike Dechter USFS 5/18/15	<p>Circulation Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (under Roadways) add a new policy “to encourage the extension of existing roads or minimization of new road construction through adjacent private lands when there is a need for access to private property”. This will minimize the road maintenance costs over time and reduce impacts to the environment 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Added a policy in the roadways section encouraging collaboration with other agencies regarding construction and considering community values such as conservation. Policy 27 encourages minimizing new roads that are built.

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
	<p>Mike Dechter USFS May 18, 2015 (continued)</p>	<p>2. (Airports & Airspace) amend Policy #10 - could be written to include that expanded service should include restrictions so as not to degrade the quality of visitor experience in National Monuments and other areas of high tourism such as Oak Creek Canyon and the San Francisco Peaks.</p> <p>3. (Minimizing Environ. Impacts) add a policy “to work with the Forest Service and/or Fish and Wildlife Service to avoid siting of transportation infrastructure in areas that are in or directly adjacent to Threatened and Endangered species habitat, and Forest Service sensitive species habitat. “</p> <p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <p>1. (Envir. Sen. Features) add a policy that provides for developments and subdivisions with structures vulnerable to wildfire to include a 300’ buffer from other land ownerships with environmentally sensitive features so that FireWise principles can be applied without impacting these environmentally sensitive features.</p> <p>2. (Vegetation) add a policy that includes cooperation with adjacent landowners such as the Forest Service so that new developments are reviewed to minimize the likelihood of spread of invasive species into natural areas from the creation of new user-created trails from private developments onto public lands.</p> <p>3. (Forest Health) New developments will include a buffer between structures vulnerable to wildfire and Forest Service or State Lands so that FireWise principles such as thinning and landscaping with fire resistant plants may be applied on private lands to avoid the spread of wildfire from Federally or State managed lands to private structures or vice versa.</p> <p>Parks, Open Space, Trails, and Recreation Chapter: (Trails: Motorized & Non-motorized)</p> <p>1. Page 4 lines 129-131 talks about the Munds Park Roads and Trails System, but should also include the much more recent and much more expansive 2012 Kelly Motorized Trails system which includes a much broader spectrum of motorized recreation opportunities between Munds Park and Flagstaff</p> <p>2. A suggested policy would include, “The County shall seek opportunities to provide legal access by securing easements that provide communities access to designated motorized and non-motorized trails on adjacent public lands.”</p>	<p>2. – Policy 10 amended to address this comment and include local considerations as part of any airport expansion.</p> <p>3. Two policies encourage collaboration with Arizona Game and Fish to minimize impacts to game and wildlife.</p> <p>Natural Environment Chapter: 1 & 3. A new policy was added to address issue more generally: Policy #27 under “Forest Health” subsection: “Forest restoration and fuels reduction projects will consider risk to and from nearby adjacent land owners’ property, resources, and environmentally sensitive features.” Also, similar language already exists in Policy #16 of Public Safety chapter: “When considering development projects in or near the wildland/urban interface, the County encourages property owners and developers to consult with forest managers and land management agencies in developing fire mitigation plans that consider stand density, health, topography and hydrology to ensure compatibility”</p> <p>2. A new policy was added: Policy # 19 under “Vegetation” subsection; “When new developments are proposed adjacent to public land, the County will coordinate with Forest Service or other entity to minimize the spread of invasive species from private to public land. “</p> <p>Parks, Open Space, Trails, and Recreation Chapter:</p> <p>1. Changed accordingly</p> <p>2: Changed accordingly</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
	Mike Dechter USFS May 18, 2015 (continued)	3. A suggested policy would include, “The County supports the obliteration and rehabilitation of user-created non-designated trails (both motorized and non-motorized) that result in unauthorized access and damage to adjacent private and public lands.”	3 - Language broadened to say ‘and/or’ damage to adjacent...
20	Judy Prosser May 21, 2015	Community Character Chapter: Page 7, Line 255-260 and 266-271: Want to insure; 1. additional red tape is not required before any ground disturbance can occur on private property. 2. no hold ups over things that are technically considered “historical”, like 50+ year old buildings that a land owner wants torn down.	1. Lines 257-264 were modified to soften language and clarified that this is primarily for new developments. 2. These buildings are not subject to protection laws, unless they have conservation easements on them, there is no permanent protection.
21	Judy Prosser May 22, 2015	Community Character Chapter: 1. What are “the current requirements for resource protection” – what types of sites is the county protecting on private land? 2. Page 8, Line 294: “inventorying the county’s historic sites” – text is too vague and could be construed to mean something more than burial grounds. Historic is a pretty broad term for SHPO	1. The only requirements for resource protection are those that come under state or federal law 2. Modified the language to clarify it to speak to what it means and that we would respect private property rights. Discussed Certified Local Government (CLG) program and noted that it would be triggered with new development proposals.
22	SAG Meeting May 22, 2015	Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter: Would like a different term for the “String of Pearls” concept and would like additional policy: <i>County values scenic views and viewshed corridors that are part of an integrated system of parks, open space and recreation opportunities.</i>	Made changes to term “string of pearls” and added the policy as suggested as new Policy #27.
23	Bob Wulff 5/23/15	Forest Lakes – County needs to develop a sledding area	Included a discussion on snow play in the parks and recreation chapter
24	Jessica Gist AGFD 5/25/15	Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter: 1. Page 2, line 41: please replace "has created" with "has established" 2. line 44: please replace "telescopes for public use" with "other amenities"; replace "weekly" with "organized" 3. line 56: correct title of the plan "the Greater Flagstaff Area Open Spaces and Greenways Plan" (helps clarify why/how the County can aim to revise it) 4. line 66: replace "connective" with "connected"; rather than using the term "string of pearls" consider adding reference to OSGP goal. Suggest replacing with "fulfills the OSGP vision of providing access to designated trails and open spaces within a 15-minute walk. Extending this concept to the entire County, we envision a network of public lands and recreational	Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter: 1. Changed accordingly 2. Changed accordingly 3. Changed accordingly 4. Changed accordingly

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>opportunities connected by scenic routes such that any resident or visitor can readily access the County's scenic places" ...or something along those lines</p> <p>5. line 72: reevaluate this statement that the County aims to become the primary provider of recreational opportunities...is this accurate? Seems more equipped to facilitate visitation and promote recreational opportunities</p> <p>6. Page 3, line 84: remove reference to string of pearls - this phrase is a marketing term that has not been well defined and does not fit well with the connected network concept that it really intends to forward</p> <p>7. line 87: insert word "minimizing negative impacts"</p> <p>8. line 99: remove reference to string of pearls</p> <p>9. line 108: reword "currently is a hindrance" how is a trail a hindrance? Is it in poor shape and needing improvements? Perhaps reword "AZ Trail offers a unique opportunity to position Flagstaff as a portal city for hikers and backpackers"</p> <p>10. Page 6, line 213-220: also mention that CPOS sunsetted and that there is no dedicated funding source for this work</p> <p>11. Page 8, line 286: remove reference to string of pearls</p> <p>12. Line 296: Include Cities in entities listed</p> <p>13. Line 313: remove reference to string of pearls</p> <p>14. Page 9 Recreation Map - consider including an inset with AWWE sites, only because they are mentioned so frequently in the text</p> <p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <p>1. Page 6, line 163-164: reword sentence to "...planning process, resource experts evaluate identified corridors and the best available data to make management recommendations. It may not be necessary to preserve the entire corridor in order to maintain its ecological function. The corridor should be managed..."</p> <p>2. Page 6, line 163-164: reword sentence to "...planning process, resource experts evaluate identified corridors and the best available data to make management recommendations. It may not be necessary to preserve the entire corridor in order to maintain its ecological function. The corridor should be managed..."</p> <p>3. Page 7, line 188: remove the word "easily" (too subjective). replace "Right-of-Way" with "pasture"</p> <p>4. Figure X: Please remove the figure and reference AGFD fencing guidelines and ADOT game fence standards.</p>	<p>5. Changed accordingly</p> <p>6. Made the change from "string of pearls" to "scenic route &/or corridor" as this more accurately reflects the intent.</p> <p>7. Changed accordingly</p> <p>8. Changed the term to "scenic corridor"</p> <p>9. Changed accordingly</p> <p>10. Changed accordingly</p> <p>11. Changed accordingly</p> <p>12. Changed accordingly</p> <p>13. Change to "network of scenic corridors"</p> <p>14. AWWE sites are too Flagstaff-centric for mapping. Link to the AWWE website included.</p> <p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <p>1. Changed accordingly</p> <p>2. Changed accordingly</p> <p>3. Removed the referenced sentence when Figure X was removed as suggested.</p> <p>4. Figure removed – citation for fencing guidelines remains</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>5. Page 10, line 300: avoid redundancy delete "to invasion by"</p> <p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter:</p> <p>1. In general, increase focus on ecological function and resiliency. Ex: Line 90 should include these terms</p> <p>2. Page 5, lines 177-184: increase emphasis on outdoor recreation and ecotourism as a major economic driver in the County. Include statistic of economic benefit and tie this back to the necessary ecological functioning that provides for nature based tourism.</p> <p>3. Policies on Page 7: Consider including more explicit policies for fire risk reduction/restoring forest function and improving watershed health. Consider including Zoning Code support for building development standards. Include policy to invest in sustainable industries including ecotourism</p>	<p>5. Changed accordingly</p> <p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter:</p> <p>1. Added these terms</p> <p>2. The Economic Development Chapter covers this; added more emphasis in the Economy: tourism section of the Sustainability Chapter as suggested.</p> <p>3. Addressed in sustainability, economic development, and natural environment chapters</p>
25	Susan Lesko 5/26/15	We need better notification of any community meetings, especially regarding zoning. By email for those of us who don't get newspaper.	Addressed in new informed public section and policy 11 in S&R Chapter and corresponding Implementation Action items that direct staff accordingly
26	William Lesko 5/26/15	Forest Service lands & private lands are being swapped without input from communities that are affected.	This is primarily a Forest Service policy issue. Our understanding is that public opposition to past land swaps have caused the Forest Service to re-evaluate their process, especially within the urban and ex-urban interface.
27	P&Z Commission May 27, 2015	<p>General Comments/Issues:</p> <p>1. ADOT projects in the County are destroying the dark skies that are so highly valued by the residents. Can the County mandate construction standards to ADOT?</p> <p>2. Residents aren't being informed and then they don't feel that they have a voice in what ADOT is doing to their neighborhoods/communities.</p> <p>3. County should take a lead role in coordinating all the various federal & state agencies, jurisdictions, & groups developing, working, preserving land in County and be a communication conduit for the residents on all of these things that affect our quality of life in Coconino.</p>	<p>1. & 2. Added new policies throughout the Plan to address both issues:</p> <p>Community Services – Policy #6.</p> <p>Circulation – Policy #4, #5, #25, #26, and #32</p> <p>Community Character – Policy #44</p> <p>3. Added language regarding the “Informed Public” to the Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter and associated policies.</p>
28	Ben Anderson May 29, 2015	<p>Land Use Chapter:</p> <p>1. #1 Goal is weak and unclear</p> <p>Natural Resources:</p> <p>1. Introduction statement has lost its' coherence</p>	<p>1. Amended Goal #1s statement to better encapsulate the strategies and issues the County has identified.</p> <p>Natural Resources:</p> <p>1. Reworked the introduction paragraph to better capture the</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
			intent, vision and concepts of this Chapter.
29	Rachael Bender (who was the actual commenter?) May 29, 2015	<p>Parks OS, Trails & Rec Chapter:</p> <p>1. This Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter provides many great ideas but what is the mechanism for sustaining it long term? There are thousands of acres of public lands that include trails etc that we have a hard time managing and maintaining, how are we going to maintain and manage them?</p> <p>2. Need to add trail heads or make sure that trails are connected between outlying areas and town; if a trail exists in Tuba City is there a measure to connect it from Tuba City to Flagstaff? This would encourage connectivity with these outlying and rural areas, encourage healthy habits like exercise. The idea is to make things easier for citizens to access these benefits, provide encouragement to get them out and moving and to be strategic in the way we do this. The idea is not specific to Tuba City it is an example.</p> <p>3. Add policies that are Health related (Health Impact Assessment): Policy: <i>The County shall ensure the trail systems and open space are interconnected in a strategic way to ensure that its citizens can better utilize this land in a manner that will provide them better access to community forums, markets, encourage exercise and promote mental health.</i> The point is to incorporate health related concepts into Planning.</p>	<p>Parks OS, Trails & Rec Chapter:</p> <p>1. Discussion on this topic included in Partnership and Coordination</p> <p>2. This is addressed in the “String of Pearls” concept discussion</p> <p>3. Added a new Policy #14 in Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter to address this.</p>
30	Parks Open House Meeting 6/1/15	<p>General Comments:</p> <p>1. Main point of concern was water and lack of authority over draw down/ impacts of adjacent wells. Suggestion to be an AMA/ to consider water-related impacts of development.</p> <p>2. Want to ensure “AG” is a continued way of life.</p> <p>3. Bikers on Route 66 can be a problem, maybe we don’t want route 66 tourists & should let them go to Seligman and Ashfork. Some people like the bikers.</p> <p>4. Too many signs on the road (public works signs)</p>	<p>1. This is addressed in the water chapter</p> <p>2. This is addressed in the land use chapter</p> <p>3. We address this through highlighting the importance of developing area plans for specific communities.</p> <p>4. This is addressed in the viewsheds discussion in the community character chapter.</p>
31	Doney Park/ Timberline/ Fernwood Meeting Open House	<p>General Comments:</p> <p>1. Flagstaff is landlocked. Must accommodate more density and got to 1 acre lots in areas around Flagstaff to accommodate growth</p> <p>2. No more commercial and industrial in this area. We moved here for</p>	<p>1. Strengthened discussion in Land Use under “Land Constraints and Growth Alternatives”</p> <p>2. This specific of a comment about a particular area is better</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
	6/2/15	natural environments and to be a way from crowding.	addressed in the area planning process. This is supported in the area plan discussion of the Plan.
32	Lowell Putnam County Lighting Committee 6/5/15	A point I would like to make is the growth in future investment in astronomical research facilities, not just the ones already here, but new investment in them and the potential for entirely new facilities.	1. This is indirectly addressed by supporting science-based industries; this can be added in future drafts.
33	Tom Mackin Reg. 2 Director, AZ Wildlife Federation 6/8/15	Economic Development Chapter: When commenting on the various outdoor recreation pastimes, you mentioned cycling, hiking, hunting and fishing but I also think it would be appropriate to add skiing and other winter recreation like snowplay. A statement that many of these activities occur on public lands, accessible to all at little or no cost would also be appropriate in light of the current efforts to transfer public lands to the state.	1. Added information on snowplay to the Parks and Recreation chapter; skiing and snowplay were both listed under the 'Recreation and Leisure' section in the Economic Development Chapter.
34	Sara Dechter Planning Manager, City of Flagstaff 6/9/15	Circulation Chapter: 1. Include some language about ecosystem-based design strategies (Policy T.3.5.) into your environmental considerations - what you have is just a set of "avoid and coordinate" strategies that don't have any direction about mitigation. 2. Map 26 FUTS and Map 25 Road network illustration could be referenced in this section. Community Character Chapter: 1. The use of terminology about Gateways is similar but the term gateway community is not used in the same way between the documents (See Page VIII-2 of the Regional Plan). Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter: 1. Flagstaff Area Open Space and Greenways Plan should be referenced somewhere in this chapter Land Use Chapter: 1. Goal: <i>Work with existing assets and problem solve challenges related to coordinated 281 development of the County.</i> – This wording is strange. What about developing new assets? 3. I really like your gated communities language! 4. Rural activity center material looks good. You should probably also acknowledge that there is one suburban activity center near flagstaff that is	1. Included language about best practices in conservation design in the Infrastructure design and development section. 2. Maps may be included in later drafts. Community Character Chapter: Yes, this may be true but we tried to differentiate the discussion. Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter: 1. Changed accordingly Land Use Chapter: 1. Changed accordingly 3. Language was softened slightly since this comment 4. Included on line 90 of Land Use

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>in the urban growth boundary but not within the city limit.</p>	
35	<p>Supr. Babbott BOS Meeting 6/9/15</p>	<p>Energy Chapter: 1. Line 55-57: Update on EPA agreement- check will Bill Aubrey 2. Additional narrative needed - anticipated opportunities/challenges (eg. Energy Prices/VMT reductions) 3. Line 123: Stronger policy language - announce the connection between zoning and policy language. The Sustainable Building Program in itself is not an incentive program. 4. Line 216: should be "Net- Zero"</p> <p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter: 1. Line 57: Make specific reference to the Adoption of 2012 IEEC 2. Intro Section: Linking outcomes with regulatory culture - language shows reasonableness/encourage, rather than demand 3. Income disparities continue to widen and may be the most significant obstacle to resiliency - plan needs to recognize this more clearly. Goals/policies? 4. Consider cost of regulation in income disparity conversation.</p> <p>Public Safety Chapter: 1. More clear discussion/listing of "Assets, Infrastructure, Vulnerabilities" 2. Make reference to Code of the West 3. Line 218: mixed conifer rather than Ponderosa 4. Line 212-214: Language to support "fire is necessary and create wild fires of a different scale" In other words support the concept of "a good fire" 5. language or policy that discusses the long-term viability or threats to long-term viability of fire districts</p> <p>Community Services Chapter: 1. Wastewater technology - flexibility and options within the law 2. Education - challenges and opportunities - philosophical language that deals with funding and indicators - particularly in regards to attracting teachers.</p>	<p>Energy Chapter: 1. Updated text based on EPA agreement to reduce emissions and close plant in 2044. 2. The energy section does not address related energy, as this is addressed in the circulation chapter. 3. Added language that indicates codes support energy conservation (line 137) 4. "Net-Energy" is the correct term as defined in the Glossary and discussed in the associated paragraph.</p> <p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter: 1. Added Language on the adoption of the IEEC to the Coconino County in Action Section 2. Added Language to reflect this to the Introduction section 3. Created a new section in the Society pillar of the sustainability and resiliency chapter to address income disparities. New Policy #13. 4. Added language in Housing Affordability section in the Sustainability and Resiliency chapter to address this; policy 8 reflects the impacts on residents' well-being and the economy</p> <p>Public Safety Chapter: 1. Included language about the variations in the availability of services across the County 2. Included language about the variations in the availability of services across the County 3. Change made as suggested 4. Change made as suggested 5. Not addressed yet; waiting on comments from Chief Howard.</p> <p>Community Services Chapter: 1. Staff incorporated wastewater technology in wastewater section 2. Language determined to be general enough to address this concern</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
36	Supr. Metzger BOS Meeting 6/9/15	<p>Energy Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 7: Concern about County responsibility and expertise. How? 2. Create policies/ordinances to make the County more nimble in facilitating emerging alternatives 3. Encouragement to work with BLM on right of ways for energy corridors 4. Encouragement to create capability for "pilot projects" to test alternatives 5. Supports the inclusion of the Energy Chapter in the appendix <p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 293: Make connection to ordinance - "Increase the ability..." <p>Community Services Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. more discussion needed on school bus routes 2. role of broadband access - to provide services in rural areas 	<p>Energy Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is addressed through the building code and through the sustainable building program. 2. This is addressed within the Energy Chapter on distributed and alternative energy. 3. Added language on collaboration with appropriate agencies - line 413. 4. County support of flexible pilot project permitting has been incorporated to the sustainability chapter. 5. This has been addressed by slimming down the content and size of the energy chapter and adding the Energy Ordinance as an appendix. <p>Sustainability and Resiliency Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language Added to Policy #8 to support the ordinance <p>Community Services Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. New Policy #25 in Community Services 2. Additional language in telecommunication section and added new policy under telecommunications goal
37	Supr. Ryan BOS Meeting 6/9/15	<p>Energy Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concern with Zoning Code language that contradicts goals and policies 2. Language that acknowledges the realities of human behavior - pursuit of comfort- we need to find balance with this as we pursue conservation. <p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter:</p> <p>Likes supporting increasing densities in appropriate locations</p>	<p>Energy Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The County's process is to update the comp plan and then update the zoning code to reflect the direction of the comp plan. 2. Added in language to encourage conservation while maintaining comfort: line 102: Energy-efficiency strategies and new technologies are critical to helping Coconino county residents to maintain comfort and living standards while reducing energy use. <p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter:</p> <p>Staff believes that this sentiment is conveyed in the document</p>
38	Supr. Archuleta BOS Meeting 6/9/15	<p>Energy Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Communication of the idea of individual stewardship - the creation of individual/personal ethic 2. Language that includes "cultural sites" - not just archeological and historic 	<p>Energy Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Added in language on individual stewardship: line 82-84 2. Changed accordingly (line 312: "pre-historical, historical and cultural sites")

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>Sustainability & Resiliency Chapter: 1. Make sure that "infill" is clearly defined 2. Social and Economic Status - connection to health disparities/access to transportation, food deserts etc. Stronger language that talks about status disparities and connection to resiliency</p> <p>Public Safety Chapter: 1. Ingress/Egress flexibility for subdivision/development requirements 2. Recalculate cost of Schultz Fire - to show importance of prevention and investment</p> <p>Community Services Chapter: 1. health disparities and access to health services 2. pay now or pay later in regards to early childhood education</p>	<p>Sustainability & Resiliency: 1. Infill is a Glossary term 2. Discussed in the problems caused by income inequality - in S&R social pillar New policy also added: #13</p> <p>Public Safety Chapter: 1. The language is already appropriately flexible. 2. We will re-check this number during the 60-day review period.</p> <p>Community Services Chapter: 1. This discussion is being enhanced in the sustainability section, also, stronger language in public health sub-section 2. New language and policies in Education sub-section</p>
39	Bill Ring BOS Meeting 6/9/15	<p>Public Safety Chapter: Wants to make sure that we clarify the Code of the West language. We want to acknowledge regulatory consistency even if there is not level of service consistency in rural areas of the county.</p>	<p>Public Safety Chapter: 1. Change made as suggested.</p>
40	Supr. Metzger BOS Meeting 6/16/15	<p>Land Use Chapter: 1. How does the Flagstaff Regional Plan relate to the Comp Plan? 2. concern about growth boundaries 3. Line 156: similar sentiment to Ryan's comment - not concerned about the values of gated communities - but the issues - encroachment on private land to gain access to public land. Security concerns. 4. support of TDR, PDR, CE policies 5. tribal language is not accurate 6. Must give specific language/policies forest service rock mining pits 7. census reference consistency issues throughout 8. Line 1122: make sure that twin arrows and glittering mountain - county does not provide water and fire service 9. Line 573:Second home patterns are changing - people are purchasing now as future, permanent retirement homes 10. concern about lot splits - is language strong enough</p> <p>Growth Chapter: 1. Concerns about growth boundaries - impacts on property values? Why do we need a growth boundary - What is lacking in goals and</p>	<p>Land Use Chapter: 1. Now Addressed in growth and Front Matter 2. Growth boundaries now only includes those as adopted by the BOS in the Regional Plan. The desire to create more through Area Planning is identified. 3. Gated community language has been kept but softened 4. Changed accordingly 5. This language was updated. 6. Staff will add this to the next draft.</p> <p>8. Changed Accordingly</p> <p>9. Modified to be Included in Second Homes 10. Language about subdivision over lots splits is strong and in multiple places</p> <p>Growth Chapter: 1. Further explained reasons why in growth 2. Plan supports updating area plans more frequently</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>policies/regulation to demand a growth boundary? 2. Concerns about outdated area plans - if they hold such importance 3. Line 49: Infill - who makes decisions about "underutilized" - perhaps a solution is to remove the word private 4. Create a tool kit/menu of tactics to create land-use outcomes 5. Line 122: concern about "assures" 6. support for combining Land Use and Growth chapters or at a minimum - doing a better job of explaining the difference between the chapters</p> <p>Water Chapter: 1. Chamber of Commerce /CPWAC discussion - role of other regional conversations/organizations 2. How did previous plan deal with water? 3. Supportive of the CPWAC maps. 4. wants a work session on water before the P&Z draft</p>	<p>3. Changed from "underutilized private land "to "redevelopment of existing private land" 4. removed "underutilize" 5. Softened language 6. The "growth" related text in the Land Use Chapter has been moved into the combined Land Use and Growth Chapter.</p> <p>Water Chapter: 1. Input has been integrated from USGS, City of Flagstaff, ADWR and USFWS. Feedback from CPWAC was solicited but no comments were received. 2. 2003 Plan had a Water Resource Chapter and Appendix. 2015 version has expanded significantly to comply with state statute. 3. Maps are included in Water Resources Appendix. 4. Process initiated by BOS - status uncertain as of 7-22-15</p>
41	Supr. Babbott BOS Meeting 6/16/15	<p>Land Use Chapter: 1. Likes the tone, it lays the foundation for the zoning and subdivision ordinance, it is a pro-active chapter. 2. mixed-use incentives - vet in the zoning code - create the thread between the two - making sure that it can be actualized 3. Emphasize housing affordability - opportunities and challenges - encourage creation of set-asides 4. State Trust land - realities of what it is and what it is not allowed 5. expand policies on ranching - robust opportunities for economic development 6. Line 492-494: mixed use incentives - prime opportunities for zoning changes 7. clarify vision - caution against speculative zoning along highway commercial corridors 8. Line 724: Industrial / light manufacturing - call out history and the county's position on uranium mining 9. get tribal comment on sections on tribal lands - inaccuracies 10. Line 873: correct "Town of Tusayan 11. Line 1010: avoid language - "absence of local economy" 12. do we have the rural activity centers mapped? 13. Line 156: Support for the existing gated community language - as a compromise - perhaps the access issue is the place of common ground</p>	<p>Land Use Chapter: 1. Kept tone 2. Kept discussion and policies on mix of uses 3. Added new discussion on set asides and incentives 4. We will keep this on the agenda, and will address it in the next draft. 5. Added new policies to Ranching and Ranchlands 6. Expanded discussion into residential uses 7. Added language in Strategic Concepts 8. Included 9. Revisions incorporated 10. Incorporated 11. Removed 12. Within FMPO, others to be developed through future planning processes 13. Gated Communities has been modified</p> <p>Growth Chapter:</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>Growth Chapter: 1. Could Land-use and Growth chapters be combined? 2. redefine terms - rural and urban plan 3. unilateral designations of growth areas and rural activity centers - creating speculative zoning 4. Line 110: Is API money gone?/program defunct? If yes, get rid of language. 5. Line 200-201: This is not true - not enough revenue to cover costs 6. better define "impact fees"</p> <p>Water Chapter: 1. good assessment of the lay of the land - supports the connection to legislative agenda and the advocacy for possible responses. Likes the legislative questions out on the table. 2. Supports this order - 1) regulatory framework (what we can do and not do); 2) lay of the land; 3) Potential policies 3. Include mention of the Red Wall aquifer - in connection to Supai. 4. (Water Sources)Are water supply numbers accurate? NAWSS study - talk with Don Bills. 5. (gray water) revert to ADEQ best practices - seems to be in conflict with current County codes/processes 6. Place best practices in appendix (gray water, etc.?)</p>	<p>1. They have now been combined 2. Removed terms to be more broad; can be defined as areas are identified. 3. Growth boundaries now only includes those as adopted by the BOS in the Regional Plan. The desire to create more through Area Planning is identified. 4. Updated per State Land Department 5. Modified 6. Included in Glossary</p> <p>Water Chapter: 1. (No response needed) 2. Moved Regulatory Framework to beginning. 3. R-M Aquifer named as source of springs and drinking water for Havasupai Tribe. 4. Checked with Don Bills and NAWSS is the most recent he is aware of. Left figures as they are. 5. Incorporated what ADEQ allows (with help from Joelle Wirth with Env. Qual) 6. Sidebar added referring to the University of Arizona's Water Wise program for water saving tips.</p>
42	Supr. Ryan BOS Meeting 6/16/15	<p>Land Use Chapter: 1. Line 156: concern about the negative tone / conflict with values - we should be concerned with inhibiting access / public infrastructure 2. Line 914-915: Bellemont is no longer dependent on perched aquifers - now deep wells</p> <p>Growth Chapter: 1. Likes the concept - infrastructure is key. 2. Are we establishing population thresholds? 3. Growth Boundaries may be in conflict with area plans 4. Growth section should strive to integrate with transportation efficiencies and multi-modalism. 5. What tools do we have in addressing infrastructure needs - phased</p>	<p>Land Use Chapter: 1. Amended text to caution that provisions will be required rather than discouraging this type of development. 2. Change made to text to reflect this</p> <p>Growth Chapter: 1. Kept infrastructure discussion 2. No, establishing them would be too arbitrary at this point 3. Moved away from Area Plan boundaries as growth boundaries and instead suggest they be established through area plans. 4. Included new discussion of additional transportation efficiencies with increased density</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>development? 6. In area plans - what are the tools available to create outcomes 7. unsure about combining Land Use & Growth chapters</p> <p>Water Chapter: 1. Desire for a "Water 101" seminar before deciding on water chapter - likes the intent, but is it realistic? Wants further advice before the draft - on regulation / role of corporation commission in setting rates. Have Rita McGuire review the water chapter & policies. 2. Growth is so attached to water - but we have no power to regulate 3. Concerned with County identifying water supply 4. role of County v. individual role of land owner 5. Concern about connecting ground and surface water 6. How far are you willing to go or pay to get water? 7. Adequacy - we want it, but do we, can we have the tools? 8. Water is too cheap - can we work on this? 9. Supports further educational outreach from the county on dry lots - providing guidance for property owners on how to collect water - Policies 13 and 14</p>	<p>5. New discussion of phased development 6. specific policies about specific locations are found in area plans rather than comp plan 7. The chapters have been combined</p> <p>Water Chapter: 1. BOS-lead meeting. Status unknown as of 7-22-15. 2. This problem is addressed up front in the first 2 pages. 3. County is required to identify water supplies per AZ "Growing Smarter" legislation. 4. Chapter attempts to tackle this issue by focusing on water conservation measures that households can take. 5. Removed language and policy calling for connecting groundwater and surface water. 6. Incorporated note about water haulers as best conservers and expanded language on conservation-oriented pricing structures. 7. "Regulatory Framework" subsection discusses the water adequacy tools available to the County. Other options may exist and should be explored. 8. Water rate structures and County's potential role in consulting with water providers on the topic is now in the chapter, but wasn't included as a policy. 9. Now covered in policies 15-24</p>
43	Supr. Fowler BOS Meeting 6/16/15	<p>Land Use Chapter: 1. tribal language is not accurate - will schedule a separate meeting with staff</p>	Awaiting advice from Fowler's office
44	John Ruggles 6/18/15	<p>Natural Environment Chapter: 1. Policy #28 (line 432): eliminate "dust control districts" as ARS is only for Air Quality Control Districts. Eliminate "locally" required (line 432). Change (line 435 & 436) to read "road improvement and maintenance districts". 2. Policy #29 (line 436): change "dust-free" to "low-dust".</p> <p>Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter: 1. Policy #8 (line 175): change to read "...resources, cultural and historic</p>	<p>Natural Environment Chapter: 1. Changed accordingly 2. Changed accordingly</p> <p>Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter: 1. Changed accordingly</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>sites”</p> <p>2. Goal (line 247): change to read “...recreational, cultural, historic and educational opportunities”. This takes in Ft Tuthill Nat. Historic District.</p> <p>Energy Chapter:</p> <p>1. There was some thought given to this chapter being included as an appendix by BOS. The relationship of energy to other parts of the Comp plan is so great that it should be a stand-alone section. It is too important to what might be perceived as of lesser importance when included as an appendix.</p>	<p>2. Changed accordingly</p> <p>Energy Chapter:</p> <p>1. Staff agrees. Recommend leaving the Energy Chapter within the Comp Plan. The adopted “2012 Energy Ordinance” could be included as Appendix C until the Zoning Ordinance is updated for this use.</p>
45	<p>Mark Baron Fredonia 6/22/15</p>	<p>Community Character Chapter:</p> <p>1. Need to make correction to AZ Scenic Roads & Az Historic Roads tables; name correction to Kaibab Plateau-North Rim <u>Parkway</u>; Mileage correction to <u>42</u> miles; and Roadway correction to <u>SR 67</u></p>	<p>1. Corrections made accordingly</p>
46	<p>Supr. Metzger BOS Meeting 6/23/15</p>	<p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <p>1. (Line 32) Ranching is huge business - not on life support. Don't refer to it with incentives and resources -rather - its production. The county can support production. HOW WE TALK ABOUT IT MATTERS</p> <p>2. always include private landowners as collaborators</p> <p>3. (line 331) ramifications of educate and encourage - it is difficult & expensive to create a green waste program.</p> <p>4. (line 435) Dust free-surfaces -how? Caution about wording too strongly.</p> <p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <p>1. Agriculture is not given an explicit place in the economic development section - it should be</p> <p>2. feels that "rural areas could capitalize on cowboy culture through horse rides" is a bit of a ridiculous notions - it is much bigger than this</p> <p>3. (line 225) "compatible with natural environment" yes!</p> <p>4. (line 230-237) gets way too specific - more appropriate for zoning code</p> <p>Circulation Chapter:</p> <p>1. wants to make sure the plan includes the opportunity for private/public road maintenance partnerships</p>	<p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <p>1. The subsection where this came from (“Collaboration and County”) was removed and consolidated in Introduction to talk about partnerships with all land owners.</p> <p>2. Inserted where possible.</p> <p>3. Added policy 24: “Support fuels reduction efforts by helping find disposal methods for the resulting green waste.”</p> <p>4. Policy does not require dust control, but rather encourages it. Language not changed.</p> <p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <p>1. The economic indicators for Northern Arizona did not include agriculture.</p> <p>2. This reference was removed from the text</p> <p>4. Because of the discussion in land use and growth, we deleted this from economic development.</p> <p>Circulation:</p> <p>1. Road maintenance districts are addressed in this chapter as an option.</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>2. (line 353) regarding property owners obligations for private roads - what does this mean? Concern about "provide access" language</p> <p>Parks, OS Trails & Rec. Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (line 234) Concerned about too direct of a connection between home values and amenity offered by proximity to parks and open space - be cautious with this - not guaranteed 2. Partnerships - add landowners to this 3. should we introduce the topic of an open space donation fund? We should talk to Carl Taylor. 4. Also for M & O - not just acquisition - could add to line 252 (Babbott) 	<p>2. The concern is around the difference between legal access and physical access to a parcel.</p> <p>Parks, OS Trails & Rec. Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many studies do support this increase in land values; leave the increase in value as strong language. 2. Changed accordingly 3. Changed accordingly 4. Changed accordingly
47	Supr. Babbott BOS Meeting 6/23/15	<p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Line 32) Be careful and be explicit when we talk about incentives. Where are they? They need to be more than just theoretical - perhaps the language is just to lay foundations for zoning code 2. Forest health /restoration - What does it mean? What does it look like on the ground? Be more explicit about what the future will be - more low intensity fires and reintegration of fire into eco system functioning - opportunity to advocate and educate. Make ultimate restoration goals more explicit 3. many of the tables and detail seem more appropriate for appendix items - this section feels different in that way - more specific. 4. give importance to ecosystem services offered by working lands <p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need to highlight agriculture and silviculture 2. (line 93) "We are leading in agricultural supplies" How is this true? Based on what? 3. Support for the intro/ strengths /weaknesses - but feels that the chapter doesn't carry through on several of these - hold on to that vision as the chapter moves forward 4. give much more acknowledgement - not just words about poverty/education/income inequality as major economic challenges 5. highlight social /entrepreneurial capital of existing county residents 	<p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "Incentives" now defined broadly in glossary (not only monetary). The subsection where this came from ("Collaboration and County") was removed and consolidated in Introduction to talk about partnerships with all land owners. 2. Inserted as suggested in Forest Health subsection: paragraphs 4-5. 3. Tables and pictures removed and put in "Natural Environment" Appendix. 4. Inserted the following into the Introduction, "The stewardship of the natural environment is in the hands of ranchers, other private land owners, federal, state, and tribal land owners. Careful resource management has and will continue to contribute significantly to the quality of life and ecosystem services enjoyed by all county residents." <p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The economic indicators for Northern Arizona did not include agriculture. We added reference to talk about forest products, biofuels, and 4Fri. 2. This was removed from the text. 3. Text was revised to address this comment. 4. Enhanced this section to highlight economic challenges. 5. The chapter supports entrepreneurial capital through the

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>give value to "grow your own" - people and assets</p> <p>6. Not sure if we need to compartmentalize industries - i.e. hospitals, etc - the hazard of omission.</p> <p>7. not wanting to be overly political - but we have to give emphasis to problems of poverty, education and workforce development</p> <p>8. (line 161) likes the Home/Cottage Businesses section - but strengthen even more - mention the future trends that exist- changing nature of commercial, retail, mixed uses</p> <p>9. (line 252) Policy 12 - giver more discussion/clarification of public-private partnerships - Why?</p> <p>10. (line 205) replace "poorer" with "low income"</p> <p>11. (line 214) emphasize the problem of low wages</p> <p>12. (line 228) passive energy - reword this</p> <p>13. (line 242) sustainable business practices - to grow? Rework - disjointed - look at our goals - how does the policies relate?</p> <p>14. (housing section) communicate about the vision here - lay table for future discussion - TDR etc.</p> <p>Economic Development Chapter (cont.):</p> <p>15. (line 386) Planned Residential/planned communities - represent a very limited opportunity for mixed use - adaptive re-use and corridor redevelopment - much more opportunity - highlight this too</p> <p>16. (line 408) policy 22 - be clear that vacancy is a problem on industrially zoned lands</p> <p>Circulation Chapter:</p> <p>1. mention "Road Associations" non-governmental - lowest level opportunity let people know this is a good, viable option</p> <p>2. Flagstaff 5 year transit plan - when was this last updated? Is it still relevant?</p> <p>3. Code of the west issues - wants to be careful about setting expectation of providing transit in rural areas with low density - be careful with the language so that there isn't an expectation for the county to provide this service</p> <p>4. (non-motorized section) add "provide options" instead of "reduce traffic"</p> <p>5. Highlight the importance of access to public land through developments - as a value of the county - make this explicit</p> <p>6. (line 361) insufficient financial resources - add line 403 references there</p> <p>7. (line 378) add in road associations - but be careful about setting up expectations for future county maintenance</p>	<p>small business and cottage industry sections.</p> <p>6. Staff is considering taking these out in further drafts.</p> <p>7. The Standard of Living section addresses this.</p> <p>8. Moved this section into emerging trends, to highlight this industry.</p> <p>9. Strengthened this language to give a better definition to public-private partnerships.</p> <p>10. Change made as suggested.</p> <p>11. This is addressed in the Standard of Living section</p> <p>12. Removed these references section because sustainability and energy are addressed in other sections.</p> <p>13. Added two new policies that spoke more directly to the text for this section and added more specificity.</p> <p>14. Added a new policy regarding clustering and zoning flexibility.</p> <p>15. Removed this specific language. Added a policy regarding mixed use and flexible zoning; added adaptive reuse strategies to the sustainability and resiliency chapter.</p> <p>16. Added a policy to create an inventory of industrial zoned land and make this available to the public.</p> <p>Circulation:</p> <p>1. This may be addressed in a future draft.</p> <p>2. This statement was just to give the history of this planning process; the 2013 plan is mentioned later in this section.</p> <p>3. Language was changed to encourage developers to provide these services and to be more conservative.</p> <p>4. This will be updated in a future draft.</p> <p>5. This was addressed.</p> <p>6. Added a reference to Proposition 403</p> <p>7. This will be addressed in a future draft.</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>Parks, OS Trails & Rec. Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. better definitions needed - county parks v. natural areas v open space 2. Refer to the management plan - add in pieces about the need for collaborative planning, stakeholder driven process - model from Observatory Mesa and Rogers Lake 3. Reference Forest Service's sustainable recreation plan - examples of how to minimize incompatible uses/issues 4. (line 241) Paragraph on level of service and population leading to triggers - re-word this - it sets expectations about what we will revise - maybe just remove the wording 5. emphasize the value of maintaining what we already have - as a priority before adding new facilities 6. Don't list specific wildlife NGO's - just say "NGO's" 	<p>Parks, OS Trails & Rec. Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reworded the information on re-use and corridor development 2. 3. Changed accordingly 4. Changed to call for master plan. 5. Changed accordingly 6. Changed accordingly
48	Supr. Fowler BOS Meeting 6/23/15	<p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. importance of use of landscape for traditional uses. 2. wildlife as primary users of landscape 3. plan needs to go beyond scientific and economic values of landscape - a consideration of other views and values. 	<p>Addressing traditional views and values of the Natural Environment:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inserted “Traditional Tribal Principles” into “Guiding Principles” section of front matter: <i>“For many hundreds of years before the arrival of Europeans to northern Arizona, native Indians lived and cared for its land and water. Today, American Indians still make up a significant component of the County’s population, and their perspective on the stewardship of nature is very valuable. According to traditional belief, people do not own the land, but rather belong to it, and have the obligation to care for it. Although this perspective may differ from the way land is bought and sold in Coconino County, the traditional principles of stewardship and sustainability are completely compatible with the guiding principles listed below and applied throughout this Comprehensive Plan. Indeed, sustainability is the cornerstone of this plan and ultimately centers around seven generations thinking. In this way, our vision for the County aligns with native perspectives as the County strives to make land use decisions that do not compromise the ability of future generations to enjoy the same quality of life we have today”.</i> 2. Inserted Navajo quote next to Aldo Leopold quote under “Land Use” section of front matter.

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Emphasize public lands - this is our biggest resources - and they are under siege. They are essential to our economy. 2. give mention to river running industry 3. condors are a tourism draw 4. (housing section) Too Flagstaff centric - what about rural housing issues? <p>Circulation Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. include other transit systems in the county - Navajo Transit/Page Express 2. (non-motorized section) FUTS mentioned - Page has trails - should be mentioned as well 3. make sure to mention the nationally recognized rt.66 through flagstaff 4. Do we want to mention non-lead bullets? The environmental impact of this <p>Parks, OS Trails & Rec. Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (intro) Mention Glen Canyon National Recreation Area and Lake Powell 2. Thanks for mentioning the Spanish Trail 3. Mention the scenic highways trails 	<p>3. Additions made in Introduction: <i>“A significant percentage of county residents are American Indian and have strong, traditional connections with County lands, waters, and wildlife that go back many hundreds of years. This Plan strives to honor this relationship by both supporting traditional uses and practices and by promoting wise stewardship of our natural environment. Although this Comprehensive Plan encompasses all aspects of the natural environment holistically, this chapter focuses on the components of the Natural Environment that currently require the most attention AND can be potentially improved or conserved through wise land use planning and cooperation.”</i></p> <p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Added additional references to public lands. 2. This was added as suggested. 3. This is covered through the section on watchable wildlife. 4. Added references to other sections which also handle housing affordability, also discussed general housing affordability issues. <p>Circulation Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. These other transit systems were included as suggested. 2. Added more general references to County Trails; Parks and Recreation section has a more comprehensive discussion of trails that are not commuter trails. 3. Will be added in a future draft 4. This requires future discussion at the Board level and will likely be covered in another section. <p>Parks, OS Trails & Rec. Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Included 2. Kept 3. Included

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
49	Scott Anderson CC Parks & Rec 6/24/15	<p>Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To address updating management plans add a policy under 1st section that states “as part of the County’s stewardship of natural areas there will be a review of and update to management plans on a semi-annual basis”. 2. The concept of a string of pearls is a good one. I would encourage you not to lose it. If people do not like the term perhaps we should describe it as “nodes of recreation and open spaces connected by a system of trails and greenways”. The connectivity is important, especially as related to the idea of having “portals” (local communities) that provide access to the “string”. 3. (line 234): It was suggested that “will” be changed to “may”. We may want to change the wording of the entire paragraph to demonstrate that location near parks and open space will have beneficial impact. There are many studies that have all shown the positive financial impact being located near parks and open space. 	<p>Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Included 2. Included 3. Left language as and provide literature support for statement
50	Judy Prosser 6/30/15	<p>Land Use Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line #397, Policy #22: The language has been "softened" by saying "Coordinate efforts to develop", but since none of us know what a County Conservation Land System is, or costs, I think the merits of its' development should be analyzed before implementation. What about something like, "Consider developing" or "Investigate the merits of". 2. Line #1020-23, Unincorporated Communities: My hope is that we can say something that sounds less than a mandate about not allowing zoning changes outside of an Area Plan. I still think that it is possible there are some areas of the County that don't fit the Area Plan concept. Never mind the fact, that the County can't afford to do any more Area Plans! (THAT is not the property owners fault, and they should not have to be penalized as a result) I guess you have mitigated that to some degree with the 10 acre limit. <p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line#206 #12. "The County <i>will</i> continue to support a wildlife planner position..." I think it is a nice luxury, but not a necessity, and given the current budget situation, using the word "WILL" might be a bit much. Perhaps "try to" would be more appropriate, as there could be more critical needs for those funds at some time.[This is a new comment] 2. Line #96 - 104. Wildlife section, paragraph on grasslands 	<p>Land Use Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarified the role of the CLS and changed the name so as to be clear about what we are suggesting. This has been a point of contention with conflicting comments 2. Line 1021 amended to: “Large acreage Zone changes to more intense land uses will typically not be recommended until and unless an Area Plan is adopted by the County BOS.” <p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Community Development staff believe that having access wildlife and natural resource expertise is essential to implementing the goals and policies of this conservation-based plan. Therefore, it is a high priority to continue supporting this position. 2. All changes made accordingly.

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>deterioration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · This paragraph implies that most, if not all, grasslands are in a degraded condition. · It lists overgrazing as the first cause. I'm not opposed to leaving the word in there, but I would like to see "encroaching woodland vegetation" as the first factor. · "Many wildlife species associated with grasslands have also declined; including..." I am not sure that the word "many" is accurate. I think "some" would be more appropriate. <p>Water Resources Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Don't have the line, but here is the sentence: "Localized drawdown of aquifers is already occurring in some areas with documented impacts on aquatic species (awaiting citations from USFWS)." I have no research to say this is not true. But because we are "awaiting the citations", it would seem premature to make this bold statement. 	<p>Water Resources Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Citations included in updated draft.
51	Judy Prosser 6/30/15	<p>Water Resources (cont.):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. (next page) "Such impacts have already been observed in the Winslow area where industrial use of water resources has resulted in the drying up of some wetlands along the Lower Colorado River (awaiting citation from USGS). Once again, I have no research to say this is not true. However, Winslow does not have many industrial uses, so I question it. And because we are "awaiting citation from USGS", I think it is premature to make this very bold statement. <p>Sustainability:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 282, Policy #4, "Meet state and national standards for exemplary sustainable communities" This is definitely a one-size-fits-all approach. We discussed how the diversity of this county adds to its strength, uniqueness, and ultimately its sustainability. I asked that we soften that language since we were not able to discuss what those standards are. Do they really fit all of Coconino County? I would ask that we use the word 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Citation (personal communication) included in updated draft. Information from Don Bills with the USGS. Water table drawdown appears to be from municipal, industrial and agricultural uses. Observations are according to long-term field monitoring by USGS, but not published in 1 specific location. <p>Sustainability:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intent of policy was directed at internal County activity. Language changed to reflect this in the policy section.

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>"consider" or "compare against" , rather than the mandate approach. [I made this comment in a meeting, although perhaps no one agreed with me]</p>	
52	Sat Best 6/30/15	<p>General Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To make the lofty aspirations of this document a practical reality, there must be an inventory of the conservation assets that are to be preserved. This is the primary message of the Scientific Advisory Group that is noted on page 14, and it is good to see an endorsement of a Conservation Land System in the Land Use section. 2. The County is the obvious player in the complex system of land jurisdiction to bring all parties together to conserve the integrity of the whole. Waiting for individual parcels to come before Planning and Zoning and reacting to applicant's plans as conservation assets are discovered is not a plan that serves the conservation goals of the County or the predictability goals (as noted on p7) of the applicants. Similarly, conservation plans made by government jurisdictions can't have integrity if they are made independently of other plans separated by a line on a map. Decision Making Principal 4 and the Precautionary Principal speak to this issue. The " Our Vision" document says that we are in the business of maintaining a beautiful and ecologically healthy landscape, and every successful business inventories its assets. Perhaps staff can make the language stronger, and there are a few suggestions below. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarified the role of the CLS and changed the name so as to be clear about what we are suggesting. This has been a point of contention with conflicting comments 2. Staff has added a number of additional policies that address the idea of better communications & the County being the lead entity to coordinate with all other agencies/groups and across jurisdictional boundaries. <p>In terms of an inventory of conservation assets, staff has received some pushback from stakeholders on the idea of collecting data beyond what is in the public domain. Staff does use existing conservation datasets to assess projects. An additional level of prioritization that would say "these parcels have more conservation value than those" would require a complex series of value judgments and might lead to missed opportunities on properties that don't fall into the "high priority" category. At this point, staff is proposing a Conservation Information System that would compile these public cultural and natural resource datasets so that they can be more easily accessed by staff in project planning and review.</p>
53	Sat Best 6/30/15	<p>General Comments (cont.):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Find a way to fund a plant community expert in a position parallel to the Game and Fish position. This would provide expertise in both maintaining native plant communities and finding ways to resist invasive plants. These 2 positions working together would give us the expertise we need to maintain ecological health. Wildlife is mentioned many times more often than plant communities in the proposed CP, yet we know that flora and fauna are part of the same ecological system. Population growth, development and climate change will 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We already have a relationship with the San Francisco Peaks Weed Management Association. We need to create stronger collaborative efforts with similar groups to address this need.

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>challenge our efforts to maintain healthy ecosystems, and we need expertise to guide us.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2. Have a staff member whose job it is to monitor compliance with the Regional Plan and Comp Plan, as Sarah Dechter is doing at the City. · Get interns to support a conservation asset inventory, to be directed by the Game and Fish and plant person. · Commit to a completed conservation asset inventory for the parts of the County with Area Plans. This is where most of the change will take place, and we already have much of this information in the Regional Plan and Area Plan maps. <p>4. Decision Making Principle #4: add: “To understand the factors ..., we must create a Conservation Land System or other conservation asset inventory system to identify critical components including: view sheds, vegetation and soil types, landforms, wildlife and wildlife movement corridors, ...” (view sheds doesn’t quite fit here, but where should it go?)</p> <p>6. Page 17: A regional land trust is a great idea. How can this happen? Can the Scientific Advisory Group help?</p> <p>7. Page 18: “Major Amendments” I’d like to discuss the acreage sizes in this chapter with the other commissioners.</p> <p>Natural Environment Chapter:</p> <p>1. General: Native vegetation and soils are hard to re-establish once they are disturbed, and invasive plants frequently win the race. Minimum disturbance should be the goal.</p> <p>2. (line 264): New policy: “The County will establish a position for a plant community expert parallel to the current part time Arizona Game and Fish position. These 2 positions will supervise interns who will help</p>	<p>2. Included in implementation plan</p> <p>Too specific for the plan or implementation but creating the inventory is identified in both.</p> <p>The Conservation Information System is included in the implementation Plan</p> <p>4. This text was not added. Decision Making Principles are derived from a specific scientific paper & not appropriate to amend. However, staff is proposing new text in the NE Chapter to address Resource Information Systems (for culture & natural resources). Additionally, included in implementation.</p> <p>6. A Scientific Advisory group would be a useful adjunct to ensure the properties being protected qualify for conservation status.</p> <p>7. This section has been completely revised because the Plan does not include a land use map. It creates issues with what exactly we are asking people to amend.</p> <p>Natural Environment:</p> <p>1. Added “...minimizing the area disturbed and...” to vegetation policy #17 and added new policy #16: “Construction plans for development, infrastructure improvements and forest restoration projects will include a plan for minimum disturbance of native vegetation and soils”</p> <p>2. Creating a Conservation Information System is in the Implementation Plan. How it is created needs to be determined by the County, taking budgets and other</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>complete conservation asset inventories, with first priorities being lands within area plans.”</p> <p>3. (line 265): Add: “Weed management plans will be required for most development projects and forest restoration projects...”</p> <p>4. (line 263): Add a new policy: Construction plans for development, infrastructure improvements and forest restoration projects will include a plan for minimum disturbance of native vegetation.</p> <p>5. (line 373): Add a new policy: Construction plans for development, infrastructure improvements and forest restoration projects will include a plan for minimum disturbance of soils.</p> <p>Parks, OS, Trails & Rec Chapter:</p> <p>1. (line 301): Add a new policy: “The County will support and help coordinate volunteer groups that work on conservation, parks and open space projects.”</p> <p>Community Character Chapter:</p> <p>1. (line 133): Add to Policy 9. “Significant natural and cultural assets within the boundaries of each area plan should be inventoried.”</p> <p>2. (line 276): Add to Policy 17. “...land use planning issues, inventories of natural and cultural assets, development projects, ...”</p> <p>3. (line 381): Add to Goal. “Inventory and conserve local.....”</p> <p>Sustainability Chapter:</p> <p>1. (line 1): Add to the Glossary: <u>Sustainability</u> “Sustainability means to live and thrive in the present in a way that does not compromise future generation’s ability to also live and thrive. Sustainability principles may be applied to social, economic and environmental issues.” <u>Resiliency</u></p>	<p>priorities into consideration.</p> <p>3. Amended as suggested</p> <p>4. Amended as suggested and added “and soils” at end (Policy #16)</p> <p>5. See above.</p> <p>Parks, Open Space, Trails and Recreation:</p> <p>1. Policy included as Suggested</p> <p>Community Character:</p> <p>1. We will work to do this; a Certified Local Government Program would help with this.</p> <p>2. This is addressed in policy #5; a Certified Local Government Program would help with this.</p> <p>3. A Certified Local Government Program would help with this.</p> <p>Sustainability:</p> <p>#1,2,and 3 Staff has amended the introduction of the S&R chapter to give more clear language to these terms.</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>“Resiliency is the ability to respond positively to challenging conditions.”</p> <p>2. The definition of <u>sustainability</u> is especially important. The word is used many times in the proposed Comp Plan, sometimes with the meaning above and sometimes just to mean “keep going into the future”. It’s a word that has been over-used in recent years and without a tight definition it can become meaningless in its environmental sense.</p> <p>3. (line 7): “...social, and economic systems. <i>Insert:</i> “Sustainability means to live and thrive in the present in a way that does not compromise future generation’s ability to also live and thrive. Sustainability principles may be applied to social, economic and environmental issues. Resiliency is the ability to respond positively to challenging conditions.”</p>	
54	Sat Best 6/30/15	<p>Sustainability Chapter (cont.):</p> <p>4. (line 296): Add a new Policy: “The County encourages household resiliency so residents are prepared for the temporary loss of infrastructure services or other emergencies.”</p> <p>Energy Chapter:</p> <p>1. (line 4): Add “Clean Energy” to the glossary. “Energy produced in such a way that greenhouse gasses, particulate air pollution, land disturbance and other negative environmental impacts are minimized.”</p> <p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <p>1. (line 320): Add a new Policy: “ Include pedestrian and bike chapters in</p>	<p>Sustainability Chapter (cont.):</p> <p>4. Added as policy #12 in Sustainability and Resiliency</p> <p>Energy:</p> <p>1. Adding ‘Clear Energy’ to the glossary, using a definition from:</p> <p>http://www.energy.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/files/serve?File_id=6d653f29-1df1-45e7-948e-0aa9837e2e59</p> <p>Economic Development:</p> <p>1. This was covered in the Circulation Chapter</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>all surface transportation projects.”</p> <p>Land Use Chapter:</p> <p>1. (line 331): Add a new policy: “The County shall investigate the creation of an affordable housing land trust that would acquire housing and use it in a system that balances low/moderate income owner’s equity with permanent affordability. Housing would be sold at a price determined to be affordable and the resale value would be limited by covenant or easement to an affordable price.”</p> <p>2. Add a new policy: “The County will establish an Area Plan on the East I-40 corridor (Winona to east of the Twin Arrows Casino)</p> <p>3. (line 402): Add a new policy: “The County will investigate existing conservation and land stewardship trusts to evaluate their adequacy and will participate in the creation of new trusts if it is determined to be advisable.”</p>	<p>Land Use Chapter:</p> <p>1. Staff to meet with Art Babbott to further discuss about this issue</p> <p>2. This is included by saying that large acreage Zone changes to more intense land uses will typically not be recommended until and unless an Area Plan is adopted by the County BOS. This would apply to 1-40 east development as well other potential locations.</p> <p>3. Policy was added at the end of “Landscapes & O.S Land Uses” section (new Policy #23)</p>
59	Rick Resnick Sierra Club Plateau Group 6/30/15	<p>General Comment:</p> <p>1. Too much vague language being used rather than more defined policy parameters which leaves too much to interpretation. The phrase, “The County encourages” is predominant throughout this document. We feel it’s time to slowly inject and increase <u>enforceable</u>, rather than subjective aspects of County policies over time, making ideals eventual realities in policy enforcement.</p>	<p>1. This item was not included but of clear direction from the CPC that did not want to include language such as “shall”</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
60	Rick Resnick Sierra Club Plateau Group 6/30/15	<p>Circulation Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (line 169): Goal: “Explore opportunities for increasing air service for both the tourist and freight sectors while minimizing the impacts on surrounding communities and the natural environment.” In regards to any expansion of Grand Canyon airport: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Right now, the National Park Service is creating a plan to reduce air tour noise in the park; commercial jets will <u>greatly increase noise pollution and air pollution.</u> • Night lighting will impair dark skies. Many people see the Milky Way galaxy for the first time at Grand Canyon; hobby and research astronomers value the region’s night skies. Astronomy is a vital part of our economic base. • Increased resident populations and longer term visitation in Tusayan, facilitated by the Grand Canyon airport expansion will stress Park infrastructure and resources. • There isn’t enough water to support this project. Grand Canyon’s seeps and springs will dry up if more water is pumped from below Tusayan. <p>Community Character Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (line 84): “Development projects proposed for the gateway corridors should discourage strip commercial development and restrict excessive off site and billboard signage.” This statement is great, but it’s stated as a suggestion rather than an enforced policy. Excessive off site signage needs to be defined. 2. (line 110): “To conserve rural character and open space environmentally sensitive landscapes, the County encourages the use of integrated conservation design techniques ...” <u>AND</u> (line 115): The County encourages incorporating sustainable building design guidelines and provisions for the use of alternative energy sources in construction and community design guidelines.” The county needs to develop an actual policy that does more than “encourage”, but that implements integrated conservation design techniques and sustainable building design methods that are not an economic drain on residents and businesses, yet that over time can be scaled-up as people adapt. 3. (line 449): “The County supports the use of integrated conservation design techniques to reduce impacts on scenic vistas and environmentally sensitive lands by transferring development rights to 	<p>Circulation Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A policy was added that any airport expansion would need to balance environmental impacts; also added a policy that supports the flight restrictions over National Parks. <p>Community Character:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We revised this language, because billboards are prohibited in the County. Off-premise signs are also prohibited. 2. We removed these references; this is covered in Land Use and Growth and is stronger in that element. We improved policy #1 in Community Character to provide stronger language to support the Area Plans and the DROs. 3. We removed this policy because integrated conservation design and the Transfer of Development Rights process is complex; this policy did not adequately those things. Created a new policy to explore options for developing an environmentally sensitive land ordinance to protect viewsheds,

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		less sensitive lands.” Please define “less sensitive lands”.	native vegetation, and call for minimal site disturbance.
61	Rick Resnick Sierra Club Plateau Group 6/30/15	<p>Community Service Chapter:</p> <p>1. (Wastewater section): “Using reclaimed water can serve several conservation goals. Reclaimed water can be used to create community amenities or open space features.” Coconino County needs to mirror the city of Flagstaff regarding the use of reclaimed water for construction projects of all nature. Currently, there is no policy in force preventing developers from using potable water in their projects.</p> <p>Economic Development Chapter:</p> <p>1. (lines 138 & 140): “Support tourist-related development projects that focus on conserving and showcasing the County’s unique natural and cultural features.” AND “Support coordinated efforts (public/private) to build and maintain recreational assets (trails, wildlife viewing areas) and continuing access to public lands.” There needs to be specific language defining these two statements. Exactly what parameters do they define? Is the AZ Snowbowl included in this statement as they use reclaimed wastewater to make snow or propose development projects that defile sacred native American lands or allow bicycles on ski lifts into wilderness areas? Building more trails and allowing more access to public lands must be strictly-regulated to define smart growth.</p> <p>2. (line 404): “The County supports new industry that practice conservation measures to minimize impacts to natural and cultural resources.” While this is a well-intending statement, the county should also literally state and enforce the denial of permits to new industries that do not meet certain criteria. Existing industry should also be encouraged to over time ramp up to expected standards perhaps through various incentives.</p> <p>Energy Chapter:</p> <p>1. (line 123): “The County encourages energy conservation that is economically feasible in both new construction and remodel and retrofits through support of incentive programs.” “Economically feasible” needs specific parameters and definition so decisions are not subjective.</p> <p>2. (line 133): “Clean coal” technologies that are designed to reduce impacts of coal-based energy generation, by making the large-scale burning of coal more efficient thus reducing pollution.” Though the line after this statement does admit that “clean” technologies are still being</p>	<p>1. Policy #18 of Water Resources covers this: “The County shall strongly encourage reuse of reclaimed water and rainwater harvesting to reduce the use of potable water.”</p> <p>Economic Development:</p> <p>1. Our jurisdiction is limited to County lands; we feel the conservation elements in this plan address development on these lands.</p> <p>2. These ideas are supported in our sustainability and resiliency chapter; policy 6 in this chapter supports economic development that improves environmental and public health; policies 15, 20 and 23 support environmentally friendly businesses and County initiatives to work with businesses to decrease their impact.</p> <p>Energy</p> <p>1. Removed ‘economically feasible’ from the sentence - the county simply encourages energy conservation.</p> <p>2. Added a policy (line 426, policy #26), to affirm the county’s support for the cease the conventional coal-fired energy</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>researched, there is really no such thing as “clean coal”. Reducing coal emissions may be a necessary step however in the eventual move to renewable energy technologies. Coal and other fossil fuel energy generation must be <u>completely phased out</u> in a defined time period.</p>	<p>generation at the Navajo Generating Station in 2044.</p>
62	<p>Rick Resnick Sierra Club Plateau Group 6/30/15</p>	<p>Energy Chapter (cont.): 3. (line 146):” Mineral extraction or mining operations that include natural gas extraction and oil and coal production are currently exempt from County regulation.” Why is that? 4. (line 311): “Underground collection lines are strongly encouraged.” Vague language, this needs to be an enforceable policy with defined and strict language. 5. (line 397): “The county also has an extensive lighting ordinance to protect dark skies...” Why has this entire section been removed?</p> <p>Land Use Chapter: 1. (line 676): “In reviewing the environmental impacts of a proposal, the County favors development projects that demonstrate sensitivity to the natural and cultural environment including preservation of views, trees and native vegetation, consideration of wildlife, preservation of dark skies and conservation of water resources.” The county should not just “favor” development meeting the above requirements, the county should insist.</p> <p>Natural Environment Chapter: 1. (line 261): <u>Goal</u>: “Conserve and restore native plant communities while controlling populations of invasive weeds through prevention and eradication.” Some defining language would be good in this section explaining the methods, as not toxic chemicals that will leech into the groundwater. Weed eradication methods are strictly environmentally-responsible.</p> <p>Water Resources Chapter: 1. (Regulatory Framework section) Goal: “To pursue and implement long-term water management policies that ensure sustainable water supply...” There is nothing mentioned in this section about using reclaimed water for construction and any other projects that realistically do not require potable water. The County seriously needs to adopt a water policy similar to the City of Flagstaff in that ONLY reclaimed water may be used in construction/development projects whether incorporated or unincorporated areas.</p>	<p>Energy 3. This is an Arizona state statute that exempts mining from county regulation. We have added the detail that the exemption applies to parcels greater than 5 acres. 4. Staff response: ‘strongly encouraged’ is the strongest language that can be utilized before making it a requirement in a policy document. We feel this is as strong as feasible at this time. 5. This text is covered in other Comp Plan Chapters and included in Energy Chapter Policy #19.</p> <p>Land Use: 1.Amended text to “...the county will require development projects to demonstrate...”</p> <p>Natural Environment: 1. (Bold language added). “Conserve and restore native plant communities while controlling populations of invasive weeds through prevention and environmentally-responsible eradication. “</p> <p>Water Resources: 1.Policy #18 covers this: “The County shall strongly encourage reuse of reclaimed water and rainwater harvesting to reduce the use of potable water.”</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
6 3	Spvr Babbott BOS Meeting 7/7/15	<p>Community Character Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What collaborators were utilized for cultural/tribal relationships? 2. Line 216 Clarify language about tribal political structure/jurisdictional relationships 3. Compare Line 52 with Lines 405/406 make sure of consistencies in lists - DROs/Area Plans (Ft. Valley /Mt Aire) 	<p>Community Character</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We collaborated with David R. Wilcox, the Science Advisory Group members, Peter Piles, U.S. Forest Service, Charlotte Miner, U.S. Forest Service, Craig Johnson, U.S. Forest Service, and the Interagency Work Group to the Comprehensive Plan. 2. Tribal Nations and government structures are discussed in the Places of Coconino County section in the Appendix. This discussion needs to be improved for tribal entities other than the Navajo Nation. 3. This was addressed as suggested and the lists were made consistent.
6 4	Spvr Fowler BOS Meeting 7/7/15	<p>Community Character Chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reach out directly to the tribes 2. too much focus on academic and scientific community - local community perspective is missing 3. People don't understand role of the Comp Plan - suggests more education - particularly to the tribes 	<p>Community Character</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff has reached out to the Navajo Nation, the Hopi Tribe through ITAC, and the Walapai Tribe through the Interagency Workgroup. 2. Through the regional open houses, we attempted to gain local and community input. Since the July 7 work session with the board, we have revised the document to better incorporate Native American values. 3. We have enhanced our policies to include lots of communication, coordination, and collaborative planning with the tribes.
6 5	Spvr Babbott BOS Meeting 7/7/15	<p>Front Matter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. General Make sure that there is clear discussion of plan hierarchy in Front Matter 2. Vision Section What is the vision statement? It is 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised this section 2. Removed "Vision statement" and replaced with "our vision for the future" 3. Should we do this say it is a written as if in the future? 4. Included as suggested

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>referenced several times (pages 7 and 16) in the Front Matter, but it doesn't seem that we have a clear, singular statement. Find clarification on this issue</p> <p>3. Vision Section Our vision for the future - do not confuse this with conditions in the present - a concern over tense - present v. future - Make it clear that this is where we want to go - examples: "incentives" - not yet, we aren't doing this; "Livable Wages" - not yet - but great if we are being aspirational.</p> <p>4. Code of the West Make it super direct - understands the effort to find balance - but do we say - "you may be on your own" - Supervisor Ryan concurs</p> <p>5. General - Call out Climate Change in the front matter - be a clear and straightforward as possible - it is a major factor that the county will have to respond to.</p> <p>6. Conservation Framework - Make more clear the intended outcomes of the Conservation Framework</p> <p>7. General - Connect the Comp Plan and Zoning Code with language - to support the idea of "predictability"</p> <p>8. Page 14 - How is the 2015 Comp Plan different for 2003? Be concrete! New chapters and any other major changes</p> <p>9. Implementation Plan - Annual Review - is this a reasonable goal? We haven't done it in years past, what are the obstacles? Don't include it if we don't think we can pull it off.</p> <p>10. Amendments - Confused about Amendments - wants P&Z to be sure to give clear direction of final Amendment policy and language</p> <p>11. Approval Process - There seems to be very little wiggle room for applicants. Is the language too concrete/ black and white/ too high</p>	<p>5. New discussion of climate change in guiding principles</p> <p>6. Included in intro to guiding principles</p> <p>7. Included in Front Matter implementation</p> <p>8. Included as suggested</p> <p>9. Changed to say periodic review</p> <p>10. Revised with assistance from CAO</p> <p>11. This to be discussed with Commission in the future</p> <p>12. Completed</p> <p>13. The Plan suggest look at creating a map but not through this update.</p>

#	Commenter	Comment/Recommendation	Staff Response
		<p>of a threshold?</p> <p>12. General - Reach out to Supervisors Metzger and Archuleta to encourage comments on these issues</p> <p>13. General - Propensity is to not have a land-use map – based on experience at the city - Supervisor Ryan concurs</p>	
6 6	Spvr Ryan	<p>Front Matter:</p> <p>1. General - Questions about Area Plans and relationship to Amendments</p> <p>2. General - CPC - will they have a chance to review the Amendment policy?</p> <p>3. General - How are comments being incorporated? Do P&Z have enough time to review their draft?</p>	<p>1. This was clarified.</p> <p>2. Planning and Zoning will discuss and provide direction.</p> <p>3. Comment matrix will show all comments and what was done with them. Will work with Planning and Zoning to ensure they are satisfied.</p>